

NORTH TEXAS DIVISION
Annual Business Meeting
25 May 2013

1. Call to Order Allan Curry, President 5:00 PM
 - a. Quorum present - 37

2. Officer Reports
 - a. Secretary – Brenda Waddoups
 - b. Treasurer – Patricia White \$780 in account - Have not received dues from USFA for 2011, 2012 (no Division has received payments yet)
 - c. Vice Chair – [Vacant]
 - i. Summer National Qualifier concerns
 - ii. Epee wiring controversy
 - iii. Equipment Report – Ovy Waddoups - \$156 owed to Division by Amgad Badawi
 - d. President – Allan Curry

3. Old Business – None

4. New Business –
 - a. Election of Officers
 - i. Treasurer – Pat White, NaRaye Williams
 - ii. Secretary – Brenda Waddoups
 - iii. Vice Chair - Margaret Nemeth
 - iv. President – Allan Curry
 - b. Set Calendar Selection meeting date

5. Adjourn

NORTH TEXAS DIVISION
Annual Business Meeting
25 May 2013

1. Quorum present, 37 present. Meeting called to order at 5:12 by Allan Curry
2. Secretary's minutes accepted.
3. Treasurer's Report accepted.
4. Vice Chair – position vacant. Report from Allan Curry.
 - a. Equipment borrowed by Amgad Badawi whereabouts is unknown. He currently owes the Division \$156.00. President requested that Ovy Waddoups inquire of Michael Kim where the equipment would be stored. DFC still has three sets of Division equipment.
 - b. Epee wiring controversy – articles posted on FOC website and Fencing.net. These changes will not be enforced at Summer Nationals. This is an “enforcement of current rules.” Everyone who has fencers going to summer nationals should take a look at their fencers' equipment and make sure the connections are “legal.” (Article attached.)
 - c. Summer National Qualifier registrations ballooned by 37% between 0-10 days of the tournament. April is not an easy time to find referees at the last minute. The Division was faced with events that were not required to be fenced a week prior to the tournament having to be flighted because of the number of “at the door” registrations. Solutions: (a) significant late fee; (b) enforced cutoff days; (c) make more referees.
 - d. Moved and seconded to accept report. Passed.
5. Nominations of Officers:
 - a. Treasurer – Brenda nominated NaRaye Williams, Ovy Seconded. Pat White nominated by Division. NaRaye Williams elected.
 - b. Secretary – Brenda Waddoups, no other nominations from the floor.
 - c. Vice Chair – Brenda nominated Margaret Nemeth, seconded by Ovy.
 - d. President – Allan Curry, no other nominations from the floor.
 - e. Ovy Waddoups moved that the Chair cast one unanimous vote for the slate as presented.
Officers elected:
 - i. Allan Curry, Chair
3717 Fieldcrest Lane, Bedford, TX 76021
(817) 475-8408
allan@atcurry.com
 - ii. Margaret Nemeth, Vice Chair
2962 County Road 338, McKinney, TX 75071
(469-207-0692
txmnemeth@yahoo.com
 - iii. Brenda Waddoups, Secretary
317 Carolyn Drive, Bedford, TX 76021
(817) 498-6511
waddoups@airmail.net
 - iv. NaRaye Williams, Treasurer
4488 Jardin Street, Irving, TX 75038
(214) 263-2101
npwms@aol.com
6. Calendar meeting scheduled for August 10th at FIT, 5 pm.
7. Brenda suggested the new Treasurer look into moving our account to another, more convenient bank. The Division is a 501(c)(3). Ovy so moved that the Treasurer form a committee to report to the Division at the Calendar meeting August 10th.

NORTH TEXAS DIVISION
Annual Business Meeting
25 May 2013

8. Meeting adjourned at 5:37

Respectfully submitted this 25th of May 2013 by



BRENDA WADDOUPS, Secretary
North Texas Division

Fencing Officials Commission

THE OFFICIAL SOURCE OF INFORMATION FOR US FENCING REFEREES

- Home
- About Us
- Rules
- Become A Ref!
- Calendar
- Documents/Forms
- FAQ
- Contact Us

The lateral boundary of the strip

May 16 2013

Wiring epees – Legal and Illegal Methods of Connecting the Wires

FAQ, Rules Application


Add comments



Of all the weapons, epee can be one of the most the most challenging to apply the rules correctly. The vast majority of the rules in epee are in place because at one time or another, a fencer tried to gain an unfair advantage. But because the rulebook is a technical document that does not provide examples other than legal language, it can sometimes be challenging to understand the practical implications. Recently, there have been many questions about how one can connect the wires of an epee to the prongs inside the guard, and it's not just a matter of attaching them any way that you please. Hopefully this article can clarify legal and illegal methods of attaching the wires. This article will focus on the wires inside the bell guard.

When attaching the wires to the sockets inside the bell guard, two main rules must be considered. First, the fencer should not be able to break or make contacts between the two wires – either accidentally or intentionally. Second, the wires must be arranged so that the referee can completely inspect them to ensure that the fencer cannot create a contact.

Let's look at the pictures below. Picture A demonstrates wires that are completely conforming to the rules. Each wire is inside its own insulation (m.5.2.d, m.31). The insulation goes all the way up to the socket (m.5.2.e). There is no non-insulated wire projecting beyond the connections (m.5.2.f). There is padding sufficiently wide enough to protect the wires from the fencer's fingers (m.5.2.a). The referee can inspect the entirety of the wires down to the bell guard (t.44.2). They cannot accidentally break the wires (m.5.2.b). To whoever wired this blade, Great Job!



Picture A – Correct attachment of epee wires

Pictures B, C, and D all show problems with attachment of the wires though, and pictures E and F show problems with the padding.

In Picture B, the referee can inspect the entire length of the wire, but since the wires wrap around the posts to the side of the socket where the fencer's fingers are, it becomes illegal. When the wires wrap around the sockets, it becomes illegal.

Recent Posts

- Wiring epees – Legal and Illegal Methods of Connecting the Wires
- Referee Test Reimbursement Form Available
- Welcome to our new website!
- Referee Recertification Suspended
- The lateral boundary of the strip

Upcoming Events

JUN 28 Fri all-day Summer National Championships/July NAC @ Greater Columbus Convention Center

+ Add + Add View Calendar →

Archives

- May 2013
- April 2013
- March 2013
- December 2012

Categories

- FAQ
- Rules Application
- Uncategorized

Meta

- Log in
- Entries RSS
- Comments RSS
- WordPress.org


Subscribe to FOC via Email

Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Join 12 other subscribers


Translate this Page

Powered by Google Translate




Picture B – Wires incorrectly wrap around posts

In Picture C, the wires are on the correct side of the socket, and cannot be accidentally disconnected, but because they pass through the "tunnel" created by the socket and the metal plate, the referee cannot verify if there is a hidden switch. Using a "tunnel" like this is illegal.




Picture C – Inability to inspect the length of the wires

Picture D shows a socket with both the problems.




Picture D – Multiple problems with the attachment


The final pictures show problems with the padding. Pictures E and F below show examples of padding that does not sufficiently protect the wires from the fencer's fingers. In picture E, the padding is not wide enough and there is a gap where the fencer's fingers have access to the wires. In picture F, there is a notch in the padding where the wires are not protected. Neither of these are legal. Picture G demonstrates padding that is wide enough and completely protects the wires from the fencer's fingers.



Picture E – Padding that is not wide enough



Picture F – Padding that is not complete



Picture G – Padding that completely covers the wires

Eminent United States armorer and member of the SEMI Commission of the FIE Dan DeChaine has this to say:

“The two photos which show the wires attaching at the backs of the sockets [pictures A and C] demonstrate the proper method of attachment of the wires. However the two pictures [pictures D and E], which show the wires passing through the tunnel in the guard connector pose a problem. The rules indicate that the referee must be able to examine the wires from the point where they enter the guard, and for their entire length up to the point where wires attach to the socket. When a wire passes through a tunnel, it cannot be examined for the complete length of the wire (or certainly that portion of the wire which resides inside the tunnel). Further, the rules stipulate that the wires be so attached that connection of the wire to the socket cannot “accidentally” be broken. Therefore, both photos which show wires that wrap around the socket and attach at the front of the socket would be a violation of this mandate.

What this leaves us with is the sad fact that by strictly observing the rules, we must say that only the first photo demonstrates a completely legal method of wiring.”

At national tournaments in the US, the application of this rule has been inconsistently applied historically. Starting at the beginning of next season on August 1, 2013, referees will be instructed to ensure that epees meet all of these standards. Like other non-conforming equipment, the penalty is a group 1 offense. We hope this helps clear up any confusion about epee wiring!

Many thanks for the above photos go to Irene Seelye, fencer and armorer at heart, photographer by hobby.

Like this:



Be the first to like this.

Posted by Omar Bhuita at 7:52 pm

Tagged with: epee, rules, wires

Leave a Reply

You must be logged in to post a comment.

The lateral boundary of the strip